Eskom	Strategy	Engineering

Title	**Tender Technical Evaluation Strategy for Catering Services at Kriel Power Station.**	Unique Identifier	**559-529663091**
		Alternative Reference Number	**N/A**
		Area of Applicability	**ECAS**
		Documentation Type	**Strategy**
		Revision	**01**
		Total Pages	**12**
		Next Review Date	**NOVEMBER 2030**
		Disclosure Classification	CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

Compiled by	Functional Responsibility	Authorised by
[redacted]	[redacted]	[redacted]
CATERING OFFICER	SUPPORT SERVICES MANAGER	FINANCE MANAGER
Date 05/10/2026	Date 05/10/2026	Date 06/10/2026

CONTENTS

Page

1. INTRODUCTION 3

2. SUPPORTING CLAUSES 3

2 1 SCOPE 3
2 1 1 Purpose 3
2 1 2 Applicability 3
2 2 NORMATIVE/INFORMATIVE REFERENCES 3
2 2 1 Normative 3
2 2 2 Informative 3
2 3 DEFINITIONS 3
2 3 1 Classification 4
2 4 ABBREVIATIONS 4
2 5 ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES 4
2 6 PROCESS FOR MONITORING 4
2 7 RELATED/SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS 4

3. TENDER TECHNCIAL EVALAUTION STRATEGY 5

3 1 TECHNICAL EVALUATION THRESHOLD 5
3 2 TET MEMBERS 5
3 3 MANADATORY TECHNICAL EVALUATION CRITERIA 6
3 4 QUALITATIVE TECHNICAL EVALUATION CRITERIA 7
3 5 TET MEMBER RESPONSIBILITIES 10
3 6 FORESEEN ACCEPTABLE / UNACCEPTABLE QUALIFICATIONS 11
3 6 1 Risks 11
3 6 2 Exceptions / Conditions 11

4. AUTHORISATION 12

5. REVISIONS 12

6. DEVELOPMENT TEAM 12

7. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS 12

TABLES

Table 1 TET Members 5
Table 2 Mandatory Technical Evaluation Criteria 6
Table 3 Qualitative Technical Evaluation Criteria 7
Table 4 TET Member Responsibilities 10
Table 5 Acceptable Technical Risks 11
Table 6 Unacceptable Technical Risks 11
Table 7 Acceptable Technical Exceptions / Conditions 11
Table 8 Unacceptable Technical Exceptions / Conditions 11

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

When downloaded from the EDMS, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user to ensure it is in line with the authorised version on the system

1. INTRODUCTION

An open enquiry process will be followed to source the services of providing Catering Services at Kriel Power Station Project The enquiry will be for the whole of the works resulting in a single contract The enquiry will be advertised locally The 80/20 preference scoring system will be applicable

This document sets out the method and criteria that will be used to evaluate the tenders that will result from this invite

1.1 SCOPE

This strategy defines the Technical Evaluation Team (TET), their responsibilities and the criteria to be used to evaluate the Catering Services at Kriel Power station tender

Purpose

The purpose of this tender technical evaluation strategy is to define the Mandatory Evaluation Criteria, Qualitative Evaluation Criteria and TET member responsibilities for tender technical evaluation The technical evaluation strategy serves as basis for the tender technical evaluation process

1.1.1 Applicability

The document shall apply at Kriel Power Station

1.2 NORMATIVE/INFORMATIVE REFERENCES

Parties using this document shall apply the most recent edition of the documents listed in the following paragraphs

1.2.1 Normative

[1] 240-48929482 Tender Technical Evaluation Procedure

[2] <Applicable Commercial procurement strategy>

1.2.2 Informative

[3] <Insert informative document references here>

1.3 DEFINITIONS

Definition	Explanation
Supplier	A company, person that provides service or need, especially over a long period of time

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE
When downloaded from the EDMS, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user to ensure it is in line with the authorised version on the system

Tender Evaluation team	A group of people responsible for objectively assessing tenders and making recommendations to the procuring organisation
Evaluation criteria	The standards by which accomplishments of technical and operational effectiveness or suitability characteristics may be assessed
Calibration	A process of measuring instruments to see if it is accurate
Contractor	A person or company that signs a contract to supply materials or workers to perform a service

1.3.1 Classification

Controlled Disclosure: Controlled Disclosure to external parties (either enforced by law, or discretionary)

1.4 ABBREVIATIONS

Abbreviation	Description
TET	Tender evaluation team

1.5 ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES

N/A as per 240-48929482 Tender Technical Evaluation Procedure

1.6 PROCESS FOR MONITORING

Eskom undertook that the tender will not be evaluated on price alone and that Eskom will broadly follow the evaluation process and apply the guideline evaluation criteria mentioned in the table below for the evaluation of the tender the following functional analysis process will be followed

- Evaluate submissions against functional criteria,
- Rate each submission against each criteria,
- Apply weightings and calculate total functional score,
    - Eliminate tenders below minimum threshold,

RELATED/SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS

N/A

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE
When downloaded from the EDMS, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user to ensure it is in line with the authorised version on the system

2. TENDER TECHNCIAL EVALAUTION STRATEGY

2.1 TECHNICAL EVALUATION THRESHOLD

The minimum weighted final score (threshold) required for a tender to be considered from a technical perspective is 70%

2.2 TET MEMBERS

Table 1: TET Members

TET number	TET Member Name	Designation
TET 1	[redacted]	Support Services Manager
TET 2	[redacted]	Catering Officer

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE
When downloaded from the EDMS, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user to ensure it is in line with the authorised version on the system

2.3 MANDATORY TECHNICAL EVALUATION CRITERIA

Table 2: Mandatory Technical Evaluation Criteria

	Mandatory Technical Criteria Description	Reference to Technical Specification / Tender Returnable	Motivation for use of Criteria
1	HACCP certificate (Hazard Analysis and Critical control points)	HACCP system Certificate	To ensure we have a Service Provider that has experience under Catering contracts and has a full understanding on Food Safety regulations

2.4 QUALITATIVE TECHNICAL EVALUATION CRITERIA

Table 3: Qualitative Technical Evaluation Criteria

Score	%	Definition
5	100%	**COMPLIANT** ☐ Meet technical requirement(s) AND ☐ No foreseen technical risk(s) in meeting technical requirements
4	80%	**COMPLIANT WITH ASSOCIATED QUALIFICATIONS** Meet technical requirement(s) with Acceptable technical risk(s) AND/OR ☐ Acceptable exception(s) AND/OR ☐ Acceptable conditions
2	40%	**NON-COMPLIANT** ☐ Does not meet technical requirement(s) AND/OR ☐ Unacceptable technical risk(s) AND/OR ☐ Unacceptable exceptions AND/OR ☐ Unacceptable conditions

	Qualitative Technical Criteria Description	Reference to Technical Specification / Tender Returnable (CV with certified qualifications)	Criteria Weighting	Criteria Sub Weighting (%)
1	Have similar project experience *under industrial Catering* environment in the role of main contractor serving more than 700 meals per day,	• NEC Contracts or completion certificate or PO (*Confirming similar project experience under industrial* Catering environment in the role of main contractor) And Reference letter on company letter head (signed/stamped) confirming the number years and number of meals served per day	**20%**	Submit PO or Contract or Completion certificate =5% Reference with 700 meals and more) = 15% 500 meals and more = 10% 250 meals and more = 5% Less than 250 meals =0%
2	**Management Team** a) Executive Chef b) 1 x On-site Supervisor	Grade 12, National Diploma in Food Service Management / Catering or NQF level 6 with 5 years or *more in supervision experience in the industrial* kitchen and valid National Driver's License Grade 12, National Diploma in Food Service Management / Catering or NQF level 6 with 3 years supervision experience in the industrial kitchen and valid National Driver's License	**15%**	10% for **certified certificate** (5%pp) 5% for CV& experience (2 5 % per person)
3	**Food safety requirements and Emergency plan**	**Regulations that govern food safety** • Cleaning and sanitation • Fumigation plan • Daily Waste disposal checklist • Receiving checklist for dry groceries • Receiving checklist for fresh meat • FIFO method	**35%**	-Cleaning and sanitation checklist **=5%** -Fumigation plan=**5%** -Daily Waste disposal checklist =**5%** - Checklist for dry groceries =**5%** - Receiving checklist for fresh meat= **5%** - FIFO method **= 10%**
4	**Mitigation plan**	Mitigation Plans in case of unforeseen circumstances, such as, strike (national strike, strike by employees, strike by suppliers, national disaster, etc)	**10%**	Plans 1 National strike – 2% 2 Strike by employees – 2%

				3 Strike by suppliers – 2% 4 Power failure/outage – 2% 5 National disaster – 2% Incomplete = 0%
5	**Transportation plan**	• Delivery methodology -Quality assurance -order verification - Vehicle cleaning checklist	**10%**	Submit a detailed transportation plan with -Quality assurance= 3 5% -order verification =3 5% -Vehicle cleaning checklist=3% No Transportation plan =0%
6	Dietician - submit CV (Curriculum Vitae including proof of qualification)	**QUALIFICATION-** Bachelor of Science (BSc) degree in Dietetics, valid registration with HPCSA, and Four-year's experience No qualifications, no experience AND Not Registered - 0%	**10%**	WORK EXPERIENCE- 4 years and above **(3%)** Bachelor of Science (BSc) degree (certified copy**) 2%** REGISTERED - Health Professions Council of South Africa (HPCSA) (5%)
		TOTAL	**100%**	

2.5 TET MEMBER RESPONSIBILITIES

Table 4: TET Member Responsibilities

Mandatory Criteria Number	TET 1	TET 2
	X	X
	X	X
Qualitative Criteria Number	**TET 1**	**TET 2**
	X	X
	X	X

2.6 FORESEEN ACCEPTABLE / UNACCEPTABLE QUALIFICATIONS

2.6.1 Risks

Table 5: Acceptable Technical Risks

Risk	Description
1	N/A
2	

Table 6: Unacceptable Technical Risks

Risk	Description
1	Untrained Employees with no experience and Main contractor with no experience
2	No reference to prove the information provided

2.6.2 Exceptions / Conditions

Table 7: Acceptable Technical Exceptions / Conditions

Risk	Description
1	Marginally failing to meet the 70% threshold as stipulated in section
1	

Table 8: Unacceptable Technical Exceptions / Conditions

Risk	Description
1	Failing to meet any of the technical gatekeepers as listed in section
2	

3. AUTHORISATION

This document has been seen and accepted by

Name	Designation	Signature
[redacted]	Finance Manager	
[redacted]	Support Services	

4. REVISIONS

Date	Rev.	Compiler	Remarks
June 2026	01	[redacted]	First revision

5. DEVELOPMENT TEAM

The following people were involved in the development of this document

[redacted]

6. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

N/A

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

When downloaded from the EDMS, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user to ensure it is in line with the authorised version on the system