Eskom	Strategy	Medupi Power Station

Title: **Tender Technical Evaluation Strategy – Supply and delivery of Spares – Energy chain**

Unique Identifier:	**N/A**
Alternative Reference Number:	**N/A**
Area of Applicability:	**Maintenance**
Documentation Type:	**Strategy**
Revision:	**1**
Total Pages:	**11**
Next Review Date:	**N/A**
Disclosure Classification:	CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

Compiled by	Functional Responsibility	Authorised by
.....	.....	.....
Phuti Thlathla	**Portia Lutumbu**	**Mbongeni Mqadi**
Electrical Snr Technician	**EMD Manager**	**Maintenance Manager**
Date: 2024/09/12	Date: 2024/09/12	Date: 2024/09/17

CONTENTS

Page

1. INTRODUCTION **3**

2. SUPPORTING CLAUSES **3**

2.1 SCOPE 3
2.1.1 Purpose 3
2.1.2 Applicability 3
2.2 NORMATIVE/INFORMATIVE REFERENCES 3
2.2.1 Normative 3
2.2.2 Informative 3
2.3 DEFINITIONS 4
2.3.1 Classification 4
2.3.2 Qualitative Evaluation criteria: are weighted evaluation criteria used to identify the highest technically ranked tenderer after determining that all the Mandatory Evaluation Criteria have been met. 4
2.4 ABBREVIATIONS 4
2.5 ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES 4
2.6 PROCESS FOR MONITORING 4
2.7 RELATED/SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS 4

3. TENDER TECHNCIAL EVALUATION STRATEGY **5**

3.1 TECHNICAL EVALUATION THRESHOLD 5
3.2 TET MEMBERS 5
3.3 QUALITATIVE TECHNICAL EVALUATION CRITERIA 6
3.4 TET MEMBER RESPONSIBILITIES 9
3.5 FORESEEN ACCEPTABLE / UNACCEPTABLE QUALIFICATIONS 10
3.5.1 Risks 10
3.5.2 Exceptions / Conditions 10

4. AUTHORISATION **11**

5. REVISIONS **11**

6. DEVELOPMENT TEAM **11**

7. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS **11**

TABLES

Table 1: TET Members 5
Table 3: Qualitative Technical Evaluation Criteria 7
Table 4: TET Member Responsibilities 9
Table 5: Acceptable Technical Risks 10
Table 6: Unacceptable Technical Risks 10
Table 7: Acceptable Technical Exceptions / Conditions 10
Table 8: Unacceptable Technical Exceptions / Conditions 10

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

When downloaded from the EDMS, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user to ensure it is in line with the authorised version on the system.

1. INTRODUCTION

Medupi Power Station intend to establish long term contracts for the supply and delivery of the station critical, strategic and operational spares. For plant to operate efficiently and effectively, maintenance must be performed as per maintenance strategy. To achieve the objectives as set out in the Power station maintenance plans, correct energy chain spares are necessary for proper execution.

This work specification is developed for the supply and delivery of Energy chain spares at Medupi power station on an as and when required basis. This specification is intended to ensure the availability and reliability of the contractor to supply energy chain with the correct technical specification to Medupi power station.

2. SUPPORTING CLAUSES

2.1 SCOPE

The document describes the acceptable and unacceptable risks and qualifications and /or conditions.

The Tender Technical Evaluation Strategy will define the following technical evaluation criteria:

- Qualitative Evaluation criteria
- TET Member Responsibilities

2.1.1 Purpose

The purpose of this tender technical evaluation strategy is to define the Qualitative Evaluation Criteria and TET member responsibilities for tender technical evaluation. The technical evaluation strategy serves as basis for the tender technical evaluation process.

2.1.2 Applicability

This document applies to the Tender Evaluation Team for Regulators in accordance with the authorised procurement strategy.

2.2 NORMATIVE/INFORMATIVE REFERENCES

Parties using this document shall apply the most recent edition of the documents listed in the following paragraphs.

2.2.1 Normative

[1] 240-48929482: Tender Technical Evaluation Procedure

2.2.2 Informative

[2] NEC 3 Supply Contract

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

When downloaded from the EDMS, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user to ensure it is in line with the authorised version on the system.

2.3 DEFINITIONS

2.3.1 Classification

Controlled Disclosure: Controlled Disclosure to external parties (either enforced by law, or discretionary).

2.3.2 Qualitative Evaluation criteria: are weighted evaluation criteria used to identify the highest technically ranked tenderer after determining that all the Mandatory Evaluation Criteria have been met.

2.4 ABBREVIATIONS

Abbreviation	Description
NEC	New Engineering Contract
TET	Technical Evaluation Team

2.5 ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES

N/A as per 240-48929482: Tender Technical Evaluation Procedure

2.6 PROCESS FOR MONITORING

N/A

2.7 RELATED/SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS

N/A

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

When downloaded from the EDMS, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user to ensure it is in line with the authorised version on the system.

3. TENDER TECHNCIAL EVALUATION STRATEGY

3.1 TECHNICAL EVALUATION THRESHOLD

The minimum weighted final score (threshold) required for a tender to be considered from a technical perspective is 80%.

3.2 TET MEMBERS

Table 1: TET Members

TET number	TET Member Name	Designation
TET 1	Johann Claassen	System Engineer
TET 2	Phuti Thlathla	Technician
TET 3	Rhutas Maile	Supervisor
TET 4	Ofentse Gaanakgomo	Technician
TET 5		
TET 6		

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

When downloaded from the EDMS, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user to ensure it is in line with the authorised version on the system.

3.3 QUALITATIVE TECHNICAL EVALUATION CRITERIA

Score	(%)	Definition
5	100	**COMPLIANT** Meet technical requirement(s) AND; No foreseen technical risk(s) in meeting technical requirements.
4	80	**COMPLIANT WITH ASSOCIATED QUALIFICATIONS** Meet technical requirement(s) with; Acceptable technical risk(s) AND/OR; Acceptable exceptions AND/OR; Acceptable conditions.
2	40	**NON-COMPLIANT** Does not meet technical requirement(s) AND/OR; Unacceptable technical risk(s) AND/OR; Unacceptable exceptions AND/OR; Unacceptable conditions.
0	0	**TOTALLY DEFICIENT OR NON-RESPONSIVE**
Note 1: The scoring table does not allow for scoring of 1 and 3. **Note 2**: Foreseen acceptable and unacceptable risk(s), exceptions and conditions shall be unambiguously defined in the relevant Tender Technical Evaluation Strategy.		

Table 2: Qualitative Technical Evaluation Criteria

	Qualitative Technical Criteria Description	Reference to Technical Specification / Tender Returnable	Criteria Weighting (%)	Criteria Sub Weighting (%)
1.	**Supply experience and capabilities**		**30%**	
	Proof of supply experience of spares	Relevant documentation for proving supply experience i.e. • Previous purchase order • Contract detail • Contact number • Contract close out letter		**100%**
			4 or more references	100% = 5
			Less than 4 references	80% = 4
			1 or more and less than 4 references	40% = 2
			0	0% = 0
2.	**Technical requirements**		**50%**	
	Data sheets(IGUS energy chain)	• Technical specifications • Drawings • Other relevant documentation		
			Data sheets with relevant documentation for all Spares (5)	100% = 5
			Data sheets with relevant documentation for Spares (<5)	80% = 4
			Data sheets with relevant documentation for Spares (<4)	20% = 2
			No Data sheets with relevant documentation for Spares	0% = 0

3.	**Supplier delivery**	• Delivery Schedule • Lead time schedule	**20%**	
			Less than 4 weeks	100% = 5
			Less than 8 but more than 4 weeks	80% = 4
			Less than 12 but more than 8 weeks	40% = 2
			More than 12 weeks	0% = 0
			TOTAL: 100	

3.4 TET MEMBER RESPONSIBILITIES

Table 3: TET Member Responsibilities

Qualitative Criteria Number	TET 1	TET 2	TET 3	TET 4	
1. Proof of supply experience of spares	x	x	x	x	
2. Data sheets(IGUS energy chain)	x	x	x	x	
3. Supplier delivery	x	x	x	x	

3.5 FORESEEN ACCEPTABLE / UNACCEPTABLE QUALIFICATIONS

3.5.1 Risks

Table 4: Acceptable Technical Risks

Risk	Description
1.	N/A

Table 5: Unacceptable Technical Risks

Risk	Description
1.	Technical specification that does not meet the scope of work.

3.5.2 Exceptions / Conditions

Table 6: Acceptable Technical Exceptions / Conditions

Risk	Description
1.	Declining to provide technical details accurately deemed intellectual proprietary
2.	In case of an obsolete specification, the supplier may provide proof from the manufacturer about obsolescence and new data sheets for the new specification will be acceptable.

Table 7: Unacceptable Technical Exceptions / Conditions

Risk	Description
1.	Deviation without technical qualification not accepted.

4. AUTHORISATION

This document has been seen and accepted by:

Name	Designation
Johann Claassen	System Engineer
Rhutas Maile	Electrical Supervisor
Ofentse Gaanakgomo	Electrical Technician
Pontsho Letsholonyane	Contract Manager

5. REVISIONS

Date	Rev.	Compiler	Remarks
March 2029	1	BP Thlathla	Technical evaluation for supply of spares

6. DEVELOPMENT TEAM

The following people were involved in the development of this document:

Portia Lutumbu

Phuti Thlathla

7. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

None

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

When downloaded from the EDMS, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user to ensure it is in line with the authorised version on the system.